MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2005

July 15, 2004.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Knollenberg, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 4837]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005.

CONTENTS

	rage
Purpose of the Bill	2
Conformance With Authorization Bill	2
Summary of Committee Recommendation	$\frac{2}{2}$
General Statement	$\bar{7}$
Budgetary Cap on Housing Privatization	7
Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization	8
Military Construction:	O
Army	9
Navy and Marine Corps	10
Air Force	11
Defense-wide	12
Army National Guard	13
Air National Guard	14
Army Reserve	14
Naval Reserve	15
Air Force Reserve	15
NATO Security Investment Program	16
Family Housing Overview	16
Family Housing:	
Army	18
Navy and Marine Corps	20
Air Force	$\frac{20}{20}$
Defense-wide	$\frac{-3}{21}$
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	$\frac{1}{22}$
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	$\frac{1}{2}$
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide	23

Base Realignment and Closure Account	23
General Provisions	24
Changes in Application of Existing Law	26
Definition of Program, Project and Activity	26
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law	27
Transfer of Funds	29
Constitutional Authority	29
Comparisons With Budget Resolution	29
Five-Year Projection of Outlays	29
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments	30
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives	30
State List	30

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction Appropriations bill provides funds for planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of facilities and family housing located on reserve and active duty military installations around the world. Additionally, the bill provides funds for the U.S. share of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. The bill also provides funds to execute projects identified by the base realignment and closure authorities. Finally, the bill provides funds for the Department of Defense Chemical Demilitarization Construction program.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

On May 20, 2004, the House passed the National Defense Authorization Act for 2005 (H.R. 4200) by a vote of 391 to 34. At this time, conference action on the legislation has not concluded; therefore, projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,003,000,000 in new budget authority for the Military Construction Appropriations bill. This recommendation is \$449,625,000 above the President's request and \$162,139,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level. The following table compares amounts recommended in the bill to the President's request and amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2004:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	B111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Army	1,448,239 -183,615 162,100	1,771,285	1,862,854	+414,615 +183,615 -162,100	+91,569
Total	1,426,724	1,771,285	1,862,854	+436,130	+91,569
Military construction, NavyRescissions	1,238,458 -45,622 45,530	1,060,455	1,081,042	-157,416 +45,622 -45,530	+20,587
Total	1,238,366	1,060,455	1,081,042	-157,324	+20,587
Military construction, Air Force	1,067,751 -23,000 292,550	663,964	797,865	-269,886 +23,000 -292,550	+133,901
- Total	1,337,301	663,964	797,865	-539,436	+133,901
Military construction, Defense-wideRescission	653,656 -72,309	709,337	718,837	+65,181 +72,309	+9,500
Total	581,347	709,337	718,837	+137,490	+9,500
Total, Active components	4,583,738	4,205,041	4,460,598	-123,140	+255,557
Military construction, Army National Guard	311,592 222,908 88,451	295,657 127,368 87,070	394,100 180,533 116,521	+82,508 -42,375 +28,070	+98,443 +53,165 +29,451

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	B111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Naval Reserve	45,498 62,032	25, 285 84, 556	30,955 111,725	-14,543 +49,693	+5,670 +27,169
Total, Reserve components	730,481	619,936	833,834	+103,353	+213,898
Total, Military constructionAppropriationsRescissions	5,314,219 (5,138,585) (-324,546)	4,824,977 (4,824,977)	5,294,432 (5,294,432)	-19,787 (+155,847) (+324,546)	+469,455 (+469,455)
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	169,300 -8,000	165,800	165,800	-3,500	11
Total	161,300	165,800	165,800	+4,500	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Family housing construction, ArmyRescission	383,591 -94,151	636,099	636,099	+252,508 +94,151	! !
Total	289,440	636,099	630'989	+346,659	2
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army	1,033,026 11,420	928,907	926,507	-106,519	-2,400
Total	1,044,446	928,907	926,507	-117,939	-2,400

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps Rescission	184,193 -40,508	139,107	139,107	-45,086 +40,508	1 1
Total	143,685	139,107	139, 107	-4,578	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	835,078 6,280	704,504	696,304	-138,774	-8,200
. Total	841,358	704,504	696,304	-145,054	-8,200
Family housing construction, Air ForceRescission	657,065 -19,347	846,959	846,959	+189,894	i 1 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
. Total	637,718	846,959	846,959	+209,241	:
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-106)	816,074 6,981	863,896	854,666	+38,592 -6,981	-9,230
Total	823,055	863,896	854,666	+31,611	-9,230
Family housing construction, Defense-wide	350 49,440	49 49,575	49 49,575	-301	; ; ; ;

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2004
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2005
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Request	1118	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	300 -9,692	2,500	2,500	+2,200	; ;
Total	-9,392	2,500	2,500	+11,892	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Total, Family housing	3,820,100	4,171,596	3,820,100 4,171,596 4,151,766	+331,666	.19,830
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense Base realignment and closure account General provision (sec. 118)	119,815 370,427 55,000	81,886 246,116 63,000	81,886 246,116 63,000	-37,929 -124,311 +8,000	
Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority Appropriations Emergency appropriations Rescissions	9,840,861 9,5 (9,812,244) (9,5 (524,861) (-496,244)	9,553,375	10,003,000 (10,003,000)	+162,139 (+190,756) (-524,861) (+496,244)	+449,625 (+449,625)

GENERAL STATEMENT

Several witnesses from the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense emphasized to this Committee that we remain a nation at war. Current operations relating to the Global War on Terrorism, including, but not limited to Iraq and Afghanistan, combined with the other security commitments of the United States and homeland defense, place a great burden on the armed services. The Committee believes the ultimate purpose of this bill is to support our service men and women and their families.

The challenges to military infrastructure at this time are numerous. The Secretary of Defense set the goal of achieving a 67-year recapitalization rate across all facilities by 2008, but even at this rate, the Department will not bring facilities up to an average

readiness rating of C–2 until the end of fiscal year 2010.

It is therefore with disappointment that the Committee notes the continuing inadequacy of military construction budget requests. As a percentage of the overall defense budget, military construction and family housing expenditures have declined since the mid-1990s. The Committee understands that much of the growth in the defense budget in recent years has been due to operations and maintenance costs related to the Global War on Terrorism. At the same time, the Committee believes that the relatively small amounts needed to build and maintain good infrastructure and family housing yield tremendous benefits in terms of readiness, quality of life, and recruitment and retention rates of our service men and women. Yet the Committee notes that the military construction budget requests for the active and reserve components represent a cut of \$378,697,000 and \$110,545,000, respectively, from the fiscal year 2004 enacted level. Underfunding military construction with the expectation that Congress will fill in the gaps is an unwise and unsustainable budget strategy. The Committee is encouraged by indications that more substantial increases in military construction budget requests are forthcoming beginning in fiscal year 2006, and will continue to scrutinize those requests to ensure that those budgets are sufficiently matched to the Department's goals.

BUDGETARY CAP ON HOUSING PRIVATIZATION

The Committee fully supports the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI). Where it has been undertaken, privatized family housing for service members and their loved ones has dramatically improved the quality of life, resulting in residencies that are commensurate with the sacrifices that our service men and women are called on to make. By helping to ensure that inadequate housing is eliminated by the end of the decade, MHPI has a direct and positive impact on recruitment and retention rates, which are crucial to maintaining the excellence of the All Volunteer Force.

While the Committee believes that the services should continue to rely on local housing markets as much as possible, privatized military housing represents the next best option in many cases. Before the end of this calendar year, the statutory cap limiting total Federal government contributions to \$850,000,000 for privatized family housing will be reached. Without legislative relief, MHPI

will come to a halt, endangering numerous planned housing projects. Unfortunately, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) has indicated that it will score any such legislation differently than in

the past, rendering passage more difficult.

The Committee believes that CBO's approach is unmerited and greatly exaggerates the financial risk to the Federal government. While the Committee acknowledges the need for fiscal discipline, especially in this time of budget deficits, MHPI saves the Federal government money by leveraging private capital. The average ratio of private to Federal dollars is 11:1. Without MHPI, much of the family housing that would otherwise be built by leveraging private capital would necessarily be funded through traditional construction accounts. The inability to leverage private capital would entail a great loss of efficiency and likely delay the elimination of inadequate family housing well into the next decade of budget cycles.

The Secretary of Defense has established a department-wide goal of eliminating inadequate family housing by the end of fiscal year 2007. Without MHPI, achieving this goal is nearly impossible. The Committee therefore strongly supports current efforts to raise or eliminate the budgetary cap on MHPI and address scoring method-

ology changes proposed by CBO.

SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee continues to be concerned about the inadequacy of sustainment, restoration, and modernization (SRM) funds budgeted by the services. Although SRM funds are not appropriated in this bill, the level of SRM funds requested, enacted, and expended has a direct impact on the quality of all Department of Defense facilities. While the services submitted budgets that ostensibly fund 95 percent of the required SRM level, the Committee is not confident this level will hold through budget execution, primarily due to the migration of funds to support underfunded base operations support accounts. Nor does the Committee have confidence that all installations will be treated equitably in the allocation of SRM funds.

All services use C-ratings to measure facility readiness, with C-1 being the best and C-4 the worst. Although these ratings are subject to slightly differing interpretations by each service, it is fair to say that C-3 and C-4 ratings negatively impact a facility's ability to effectively support the mission. The Committee notes the percentages of C-3 and C-4 rated facilities as follows: 65 percent for the Army, 64 percent for the Navy, 50 percent for the Marine Corps, and 59 percent for the Air Force. Facilities in this shape have a direct impact on men and women in uniform, undermining readiness and endangering safety. The Committee therefore strongly urges the Administration to fully fund both SRM and base operating support for all services and ensure these levels are maintained through budget execution.

The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390 the backlog of SRM requirements at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, form 1391 should describe any SRM conducted in the past two years. Likewise, future requirements for unaccompanied housing at the corresponding installation should be included. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in

metric measurement. Rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance account are described below:

• Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement.

Such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.

• Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as re-

pair.

• Additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects. Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

• The appropriate service secretary shall notify the appropriate committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an

estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,426,724,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	1,771,285,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,862,854,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	436,130,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	91,569,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,862,854,000 for Military Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$436,130,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$91,569,000 above the budget request.

Alabama—Fort Rucker: Aircraft Maintenance Hangar.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$750,000 be made available for

the design of this facility.

California—Sierra Army Depot: Amedee Army Airfield Runway Extension.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$561,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Georgia—Fort Benning: Receptee Barracks Expansion.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,250,000 be made available for

the design of this facility.

Georgia—Fort Gordon: Law Enforcement Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$310,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Kentucky—Bluegrass Depot: Consolidated Shipping Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$365,000 be made available

for the design of this facility.

North Carolina—Raleigh: Joint Forces Headquarters Armed Forces Reserve Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the North Carolina Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center to the Army National Guard and requests the National Guard Bureau to provide a status report on the planning and design for this facility no later than February 1, 2005. The committee also encourages the Department to consider including this project in the next Future Year Defense Program.

Pennsylvania—Tobyhanna: Child Development Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Com-

mittee directs that not less than \$278,000 be made available for

the design of this facility

Virginia—Fort A.P. Hill: Combined Arms Collective Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$486,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Virginia—Fort Belvoir: Access Roads.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$500,000 be made available for the design of this

project.

Army Aviation Restructuring and Revitalization.—The Army announced on February 23, 2004 its intention to restructure and revitalize the Army Aviation program. In addition to the purchase of 800 new aircraft and the modernization of 1,400 helicopters, the plan envisions the transformation of the Reserve component of Army Aviation. As a result of the cancellation of the Comanche helicopter program, the Administration submitted a budget amendment requesting the reallocation of \$30,000,000 from the Comanche program to the Military Construction, Army National Guard account in fiscal year 2005. The Committee understands that these funds are intended to provide a head start on the Reserve component of Army Aviation restructuring. The Committee, however, believes that additional information is required to assess the impact of Army Aviation restructuring on Army facilities. The Committee therefore directs the Army to submit by September 10, 2004 a report on future plans for Army Aviation Support Facilities and all other projects required or affected by restructuring (e.g., runways). The report should include, for as many fiscal years as information is available, the installations at which new or modernized aircraft are to be delivered, the type of aircraft and date of delivery, and the projected cost of associated military construction.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,238,366,000 1,060,455,000 1,081,042,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(157,324,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	20.587.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,081,042,000 for Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$157,324,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$20,587,000 above the budget re-

California—Miramar MCAS: East Gate Physical Security.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$268,000 be made available

for the design of this project.

California—China Lake NAWC: Advanced Sensor Integration Facility. Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$250,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

California—Naval Postgraduate School: Spanagel Hall.—The Committee is aware the Navy is in the process of conducting a space requirement for Spanagel Hall. The Navy is also in the process of making a determination of whether replacement or renovation of the existing facility is the right acquisition approach. The Committee encourages the Navy to move this project forward in an expeditious manner.

Florida—Jacksonville NAS: Construct Aircraft Parking Apron (III).—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be made

available for the design of this project.

Florida—Jacksonville NAS: Consolidated Operational Support Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be

made available for the design of this facility.

Pennsylvania—Norfolk NSY Philadelphia DET: Improve Inside Machine Shops.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than

\$1,032,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Energy Conservation.—In addition to energy conservation programs for military construction projects funded through the Energy Conservation Improvement program, the Department of Defense has the authority to develop geothermal resources on military lands and keep the proceeds from the sale of electricity generated from those resources for use by the Department, including military construction projects. The Committee understands the Department of the Navy's Geothermal Program Office is responsible for managing and developing these resources for the military. The Committee encourages the Navy to expand opportunities to use this authority for military construction projects, such as the use of triple junction amorphous silicon photovoltaic roofing. The Committee requests the Navy to provide a report to the Committee on actions taken to incorporate section 2867 of title 10 U.S.C. into the military construction program no later than January 14, 2005.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,337,301,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	663,964,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	797,865,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(539,436,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	133,901,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$797,865,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$539,436,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$133,901,000 above the budget request.

Florida—Cape Canaveral: Security Forces Operational Facility.— Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$880,000 be made avail-

able for the design of this facility.

Florida—MacDill AFB: CENTCOM Consolidation.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$8,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Idaho—Mountain Home AFB: Logistics Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,340,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Kansas—McConnell AFB: Consolidated Mobility Processing Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,332,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Nebraska—Offutt AFB: Repair Runway.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$497,000 be made available for the design

of this project.

Nevada—Nellis AFB: Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$837,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Dakota—Minot AFB: Dock 1 Multi-purpose Hangar Project.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$890,000 be

made available for the design of this facility.

Washington—Fairchild AFB: Mission Support Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$670,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$581,347,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	709,337,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	718,837,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	137,490,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	9,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$718,837,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$137,490,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$9,500,000 above the budget request. Within this appropriation is \$50,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Improvement Program, the same as the fiscal year 2004 level.

Use of Steel in Military Construction.—The Committee understands the Department of Defense uses cold-formed steel for the framing of both residential and non-residential construction projects in tropical and coastal regions due to steel's enhanced resistance to hurricanes, mold, rot and insect problems that frequently occur in these areas. Steel framing, which is produced domestically, may also hold other advantages in terms of fire resistance and life cycle costs. The Committee encourages the Department to explore opportunities for expanding the use of steel to

other regions for military construction projects.

Use of Sustainable Design Standards by the Department of Defense.—The Committee encourages the Department to utilize sustainable building design and construction methods of maximize the efficient use of renewable, recycled, and environmentally sound materials. However, concerns have been expressed that certain rating systems adopted by the Department to assess the standards of sustainable design and construction of facilities may unfairly discriminate against domestic producers of wood construction products. Therefore, the Committee requests the Secretary of Defense submit a report to the Committee by June 1, 2005 that describes: (1) the standards used by each military department to assess the use of

sustainable design and construction methods, including credits provided for products made from renewable and recycled materials; (2) the extent to which such standards comply with the requirements of section 6002 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, section 6962 of title 42 U.S.C., Executive Order 13101, Office of Management and Budget Circular A–119, and other applicable requirements of law and regulation; and (3) the extent to which the standards adopted by each military department unfairly discriminate against the use of products and materials manufactured in the United States. The Committee expects the Secretary to take appropriate action to address any noncompliance with applicable requirements of law or regulation and any unfair discrimination against any U.S. manufactured materials identified during the course of this review.

Water-Saving Technology.—The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense has installed water-free urinals in some new facilities. These devices may reduce a facility's cost to taxpayers by reducing water and sewer bills, as well as maintenance and repair costs. These devices also can yield environmental and hygienic benefits. The Committee encourages the Department to utilize this and other water-saving technology when building or improving facilities.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$311,592,000 295,657,000 394,100,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	82,508,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	98,443,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$394,100,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$82,508,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$98,443,000 above the budget request.

Florida—Daytona Beach: Joint Armed Forces Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$789,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Indiana—Gary: Joint Armed Forces Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$844,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Mississippi—Camp Shelby: Wastewater Treatment Facility.—Of the amount provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,700,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Nebraska—Lincoln: Joint Forces Headquarters.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$614,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

North Carolina—Raleigh: Joint Forces Headquarters Armed Forces Reserve Center.—The Committee recognizes the importance of the North Carolina Joint Forces Headquarters Readiness Center to the Army National Guard and requests the National Guard Bu-

reau to provide a status report on the planning and design for this facility no later than February 1, 2005. The Committee also encourages the Department to consider including this project in the next Future Year Defense Program.

Pennsylvania-Hermitage: Readiness Center.-Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$485,000 be made available for the de-

sign of this facility.

Tennessee—Nashville: Readiness Center Addition/Alteration Phase II.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,999,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Tennessee—Smyrna: Reserve Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$935,000 be made available for the design of this fa-

Virginia—Winchester: Armory.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$530,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Washington—Gray Field: Aviation Readiness Center.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,014,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$222,908,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	127,368,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	180,533,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(42,375,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	53,165,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$180,533,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$42,375,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$53,165,000 above the budget request.

Alabama—Montgomery Regional Airport: Composite Operations Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than

\$772,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Colorado—Greeley Airport: Space Warning System Squadron

Support Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$509,000

be made available for the design of this facility.

Illinois—Springfield: Base Entrance 183rd Fighter Wing.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of this project.

Iowa—Fort Dodge: Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training Facility.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$375,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Missouri—Rosencrans Airport: Fire and Crash Rescue Station.— Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$650,000 be made avail-

able for the design of this facility.

New York—Westhampton: Pararescue Complex.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$990,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

New York—Stewart IAP: Replace Fire and Crash Rescue Station.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$501,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$88,451,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	87,070,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	116,521,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	28,070,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	29,451,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$116,521,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$28,070,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$29,451,000 above the budget request.

California—Garden Grove: Army Reserve Center/OMS/Unheated Storage.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$843,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$45,498,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	25,285,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	30,955,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(14,543,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	5,670,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,955,000 for Military Construction, Naval Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$14,543,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$5,670,000 above the budget request.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$62,032,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	84,556,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	111,725,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	49,693,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	27,169,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$111,725,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$49,693,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and an increase of \$27,169,000 above the budget request.

Ohio—Youngstown ARS: Joint Services Lodging Facility Phase I.—Of the amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less than \$954,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$161,300,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	165,800,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	4,500,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$165,800,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (NSIP). This is an increase of \$4,500,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same level as the budget request.

NSIP consists of annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters.

Occasionally, the U.S. has been forced to delay temporarily the authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to notify the Committee 30 days prior to taking such action.

Family Housing Overview

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$3,820,100,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	4,171,596,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	4,151,766,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	331,666,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(19,830,000)

The Committee recommends a total appropriation of \$4,151,766,000 for the family housing construction and operation and maintenance accounts for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$331,666,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$19,830,000 below the budget request. The decrease below the request is within the operation and maintenance accounts and is explained under general and flag officer quarters and under the individual account sections. The operation and maintenance accounts provide funds to pay for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses.

Historically, housing for military personnel and their families has been a low priority for the Department of Defense. Consequently, the inventory is old and in many cases substandard. In January 2001, the Department estimated that out of 300,000 total housing units worldwide, approximately 180,000 (or 60 percent) were inadequate. The Committee is pleased to note that progress has been made to reduce this percentage. At the beginning of fiscal year 2004, the number of inadequate units had been reduced to 120,000 (or 47 percent) of 256,000 total units. With the budget effort supported in this bill and assuming the continuation of the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI), the number of inadequate units will be reduced to 61,000 by the end of fiscal year 2005. The Committee fully approves the Secretary of Defense's goal to eliminate inadequate housing by the end of fiscal year 2007,

with the exception of four Air Force installations in fiscal year 2008 and Air Force overseas housing in 2009.

Achieving that goal depends on two key factors. The first is the continuation of the MHPI. The Committee has noted its concern about the future of this program in the beginning of this report. The second is the commitment of the services and the Administration to request an increased level of funding for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. The Committee strongly supports continuation of MHPI and expects to see future budget requests follow through on the

commitment to eliminate inadequate housing.

General and Flag Officer Quarters.—The Committee is concerned by the often large expenditures on renovations and repairs for general and flag officer quarters (GFOQ), especially within the Navy and Marine Corps. A May 2004 General Accounting Office (GAO) report indicated that, of the 13 cases in which actual costs of major repair projects exceeding \$100,000 went over budget, all were Navy and Marine Corps projects. The GAO report additionally found that 45 percent of cost increases for Marine Corps major renovation projects that exceeded budgets by 10 percent were "customer driven," or demanded by officers residing in those quarters. According to the GAO report, housing officials indicated that, "controlling costs due to customer requests is directly related to a housing officer's ability to say no to requests that could be perceived as excessive and draw scrutiny upon the service."

The Committee believes that, in order to arrest this problem, the Navy and Marine Corps should ensure customer-driven cost increases for GFOQ repair and renovation projects are reviewed at the regional level before final approval. The Committee notes that the overwhelming majority—93 percent—of GFOQ repair and renovation projects reviewed by GAO across all services were completed within budget, and commends the services on their general

performance.

In order to further improve such performance, the Committee supports the recommendations of the House Armed Services Committee for increasing congressional oversight of GFOQ maintenance, including lowering the reporting threshold to \$20,000. In addition, the Committee reduced the family housing operations and maintenance account for each service by the following amounts: \$2,400,000 for the Army, \$8,200,000 for the Navy and Marine Corps, and \$230,000 for the Air Force. These amounts represent the President's request for GFOQ repairs and maintenance.

Family Housing Operations and Maintenance.—The Committee is very concerned by the migration of funds among accounts and sub-accounts within the services' family housing operations and maintenance (O&M) accounts. In response to a Committee request, the GAO reported in May 2004 that reprogramming of O&M funds is frequent and substantial across all services, reaching levels of 20, 40 and even 60 percent relative to the original appropriation for individual accounts and sub-accounts. While the sum of these reprogrammings did not reach the 10 percent threshold required for congressional notification, the shifting of funds is significant enough that the Committee believes further information is necessary. The Committee therefore directs each service to report to the Committee on reprogramming of funds within family housing operations and maintenance, including the net amount and percentage of funds transferred into or out of each account and sub-account during fiscal year 2004. This information should be provided no later than the President's budget submission for fiscal year 2006, and may be included with budget justification materials.

Inadequate Housing.—The fiscal year 2004 conference report noted the lack of a uniform definition of "inadequate" housing covering all the services. The report also noted that the lack of a uniform definition creates inequities between services, between installations, and even among homes on the same installation. The report accordingly directed the Department of Defense to establish a uniform procedure for identifying inadequate housing by October 1, 2003. The Committee notes that as of the date of this report, no standard has been instituted. While the Committee fully supports the goal of eliminating inadequate housing by fiscal year 2007, no military families should be left behind in the accomplishment. This situation remains unacceptable and the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report on the progress of its efforts to form a standard definition of inadequate housing no later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act.

Foreign Currency Savings.—The Committee directs that savings from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2005. Likewise, only 10 percent of funds made available to the construction and operation and maintenance sub-accounts may be transferred between the sub-accounts. Such transfers must be re-

ported to the Committee within 30 days of such action.

Leasing Reporting Requirement.—As in prior years, the Secretary of Defense is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter that exceed \$15,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: (1) perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; (2) report the details of new or renewal lease that exceeds \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and (3) base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

Reprogramming Criteria.—The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) apply to new housing construction projects and improvement projects over \$2,000,000 as well.

Family Housing Construction, Army

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$289,440,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	636,099,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	636,099,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	346,659,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$636,099,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$346,659,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted

level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$394,900,000 to construct new family housing units, \$211,990,000 to improve existing units, and \$29,209,000 for planning and design. This funding level will provide the budget request of \$136,590,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 12,076 inadequate family housing units.

The Committee is very supportive of the Army's efforts to secure the return of land at the former Fort Ord in order to expand its RCI project at the Ord Military Community. The Committee is pleased to note that the Army recently resolved outstanding issues—save one—that will allow the Army to gain control over the Fort Ord parcel known as the "Stilwell Kidney" on which the Army can build military housing, as well as enter into public-private partnership relations for developing other civilian housing for gov-

ernment workers.

The Committee acknowledges that the terms of the Army's agreement include 114 acre feet of surplus Army water that shall be conveyed to the City of Seaside, California, of which 39 acre feet shall be used for development of workforce housing. The Army is expected to build approximately 300 units of housing for military families on the Kidney on land that will be gifted back to the U.S. Government. The Army's LLC partner, Clark Pinnacle, is required to provide water for and to build (or contract out to build) 120 units of workforce housing on the Kidney that will be made available on a preferential basis to government and public employees. Further, the Army with its LLC partner, will provide water for and build (or contract out to build) a yet-to-be-determined number of market rate houses on the Kideny-the final outstanding issue. This market rate housing will be only in numbers as needed to provide the financial wherewithal to underwrite the RCI units on the Kidney but no more than 150 units. Prior to finalizing the contract for this Kidney deal, the Committee directs Army to submit to the Committee a final figure and justification on the number of market rate units Army will build. The Committee expects that water furnished to the market rate housing development shall be only for the purpose of supporting housing and only in the acre feet necessary to support those specified units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$1,044,446,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	928,907,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	926,507,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(117,939,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(2,400,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$926,507,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$117,939,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$2,400,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken from the Maintenance and Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$143,685,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	139,107,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	139,107,000
Comparison with:	, ,
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(4,578,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$139,107,000 for Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$4,578,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$27,002,000 to construct new family housing units and \$112,105,000 to improve existing units. This funding level will provide the budget request of \$102,105,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of

8,202 inadequate family housing units.

The Committee is aware that the Navy has entered into negotiations with a contractor team for the privatization of family housing and potential divestiture of properties no longer viable for continued Navy use within Navy Region Northwest, including housing at Submarine Base, Bangor; Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Keyport; Naval Magazine, Indian Island; Naval Station, Bremerton; Olalla; Kingston; Bainbridge Island; Fort Lawton; Magnolia (Quarters A); Brier; Naval Radio Station, Jim Creek; and Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island. The Committee is also aware that the Navy has agreed to consult with the State Historic Preservation Officer and other interested parties, including the City of Seattle to consider the interests and concerns of surrounding neighborhoods and local jurisdictions. The Committee supports the Navy's commitment to work with all parties to achieve a mutually beneficial outcome in maintaining Discovery Park as a regional resource.

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$841,358,000 704,504,000 696,304,000
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation Fiscal year 2005 budget request	$(145,054,000) \ (8,200,000)$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$696,304,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$145,054,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$8,200,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken from the Maintenance of Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$637,718,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	846,959,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	846,959,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	209,241,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$846,959,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$209,241,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The appropriation includes \$570,340,000 to construct new family housing units, \$238,353,000 to improve existing units, and \$38,266,000 for planning and design. The funding level will provide the budget request of \$82,863,000 for the privatization of family housing at 6 installations, and supports the elimination of 14,268 inadequate family housing units.

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$823,055,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	863,896,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	854,666,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	31,611,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	(9,230,000)

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$854,666,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$31,611,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and a decrease of \$9,230,000 below the budget request. The decrease is to be taken as follows: \$230,000 from the Maintenance of Real Property account for general and flag officer quarters, \$2,500,000 from the Furnishings account, and \$6,500,000 from the Management account. The Air Force requested an increase in the Management account despite the fact that the total inventory of housing units is expected to decrease by 14,268 units in fiscal year 2005, suggesting a 23 percent increase in the per unit management cost. The Committee finds this increase unjustified and the reduction in the Management account represents one-half of the difference between the requested amount and the amount that would be necessary without this per unit cost increase. The Committee also finds that the per unit cost increase in the Furnishings account is also unjustified, and the request also has been accordingly reduced.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$350,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	49,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	49,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(301,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,000 for Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$301,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The recommended amount is solely for the improvement of existing units.

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$49,440,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	49,575,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	49,575,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	135,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$49,575,000 for Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$135,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$ (9,392,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	2,500,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,500,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	11,892,000
Fiscal year 2005 estimate	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,500,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund (FHIF) for fiscal year 2005. This is an increase of \$11,892,000 above the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2004 (PL 108–132) appropriated \$300,000 to the Fund while rescinding \$9,692,000 for a project that was no longer required. The Department is directed to continue providing quarterly status reports on each privatization project.

The FHIF is authorized by section 2883, title 10, United States Code, and provides the Department of Defense with authority to finance joint ventures with the private sector to revitalize and to manage the Department's housing inventory. The statute authorizes the Department to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for military personnel.

The FHIF is used to build or renovate family housing by mixing or matching various legal authorities, and by utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The Fund is administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations and contains appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. The fund is financed by revenue from the sale of acquired properties and prior year unobligated balances. The total program estimate for fiscal year 2005 is \$11,542,373.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund that provides assistance to homeowners. The fund was established to ameliorate adverse impacts on the economies of local communities caused by base realignment and closure (BRAC). A service member may access the fund if the value of the home decreases due to BRAC. The account receives funds from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fis-

cal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	\$119,815,000
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	81,886,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	81,886,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(37,929,000)
Fiscal vear 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$81,886,000 for Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$37,929,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The following chart displays the fiscal year 2005 increments included in the bill:

State/Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Colorado: Pueblo Depot Activity Kentucky: Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Ph–VI) Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Ph–V)	\$44,792,000 37,094,000	\$44,792,000 37,094,000
Total		81,886,000	81,886,000

The Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-wide account provides funds for the design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructures required to support the Chemical Demilitarization program.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	
Committee recommendation in the bill	246,116,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2004 appropriation	(124,311,000)
Fiscal year 2005 budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$246,116,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account (BRAC) for fiscal year 2005. This is a decrease of \$124,311,000 below the fiscal year 2004 enacted level and the same as the budget request. In addition to the amount appropriated, the Department projects revenues of \$115,000,000 from the sale of land for a total program level of \$361,116,000.

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (Public Law 100–526) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510) authorized four base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds between 1988 and 1995 to reduce excess military bases and infrastructure. Ninety-seven major domestic installations were closed and several facilities were realigned. The four BRAC rounds netted savings of approximately \$15,500,000,000 through fiscal year 2001. The Department estimates the costs avoided from fiscal year 2002 and beyond are approximately \$6,000,000,000 per year.

The Congress has appropriated a net total of \$22,706,132,000 for the BRAC program from fiscal years 1990 through 2004. Within this amount, the Department has allocated \$8,405,146,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by service component, by functions, and by base. Recognizing the complexities of providing for environmental restoration of properties, the Committee has provided flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor program execution to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of environmental cleanup responsibilities.

California—Fort Ord.—A controlled burn at Fort Ord last year burned out of control and scorched nearly three times as much acreage as planned. The Committee encourages the Army to use this unexpected opportunity to conduct additional remediation ac-

tivities as appropriate.

General Provisions

The Administration proposed eliminating several general provisions enacted in P.L. 108–132, including sections 111, 113, 119, 122, 123, 126, 127, and 128. The Committee retains each provision with the exception of 128, which established the Commission on the Review of Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States.

The Administration proposed one new general provision allowing funds in the Ford Island Improvement Account to be appropriated and expended for the purposes specified in 10 U.S.C. 2814(i)(1) or until transferred pursuant to the provisions 10 U.S.C. 2814(i)(3). The Committee did not include this provision.

The Committee includes a new general provision to require the Department to provide a written response to inquiries made by the subcommittee within 21 days.

General Provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 limits DOD from spending funds appropriated in this Act for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 permits the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 prohibits construction of new bases in the United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 limits the use of funds for the purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100% of value.

Section 106 prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 limits the use of minor construction funds to be transferred or relocated from one installation to another.

Section 108 prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 limits appropriations from being used to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 prohibits the use of funds to initiate a new installation overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 establishes a preference for American architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, and the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 establishes a preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where construction costs exceed \$100,000.

Section 114 limits obligations to no more than 20 percent during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 permits DOD to make available funds appropriated in prior years for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 provides that funds for military construction projects are available until the end of the fourth fiscal year following the fiscal year in which funds are appropriated, subject to certain conditions.

Section 118 allows the transfer of expired funds to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account. This provision has been included in every Military Construction Appropriations Act since 1992. Once transferred these funds become available for obligation until expended. Scorekeeping rule 6 requires that extending expired balances be scored as new appropriations in the year that they become available.

Section 119 requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually on actions taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the NATO, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of defense costs.

Section 120 authorizes the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 122 limits the obligation of funds for Partnership for Peace Programs.

Section 123 requires the Secretary of Defense to notify Congressional Committees sixty days prior to issuing a solicitation for a contract with the private sector for military family housing.

Section 124 provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 125 requires that appropriations from this Act be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters to \$20,000 per year without notification.

Section 126 limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriation Act.

Section 127 prohibits funds appropriated for the NATO Security Investment Program from being obligated or expended for the pur-

pose of missile defense studies.

Section 128 requires the Secretary of Defense or any other official of the Department of Defense to respond in writing to a question or inquiry submitted by the chairman or another member of

the subcommittee within 21 days.

Section 129 increases the limitation in 10 U.S.C. 2883(g)(1) on the amount of budget authority for the privatization of military family housing to \$1,350,000,000. The section also provides that this section shall not be subject to scoring for purposes of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

Section 130 designates the fitness center at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida, the Sam Johnson Fitness Center in honor of

the Honorable Sam Johnson.

House of Representatives Report Requirements

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

Changes in Application of Existing Law

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional leg-

islation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included that enables various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such ex-

tended availability.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

Language is included raising the statutory cap on budget author-

ity for privatizing military family housing by \$500,000,000.

Language is included designating a fitness center at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT, AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-508), the following information provides the definitions of the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in the Military Construction Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, and activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items, identified in the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2003, accompanying House and Senate reports, and the conference report of the joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference.

In carrying out any sequestrations, the Department of Defense (DOD) and related agencies shall carry forth the sequestration order in a manner that would not adversely affect or alter Congressional policies and priorities established for the DOD and related agencies, and no program, project, and activity should be eliminated or reduced to a level of funding that would adversely affect DOD's ability to effectively continue any program, project, and activity.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[dollars	in thousands]			
Agency/program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Construction, Army	2004	1,448,239	1,426,724	1,862,854
Military Construction, Navy	2004	1,238,458	1,238,366	1,081,042
Military Construction, Air Force	2004	1,067,751	1,337,301	797,865
Military Construction, Defense-wide	2004	701,871	581,347	718,837
Military Construction, Army National Guard	2004	311,592		
Military Construction, Air National Guard	2004	222,908		
Military Construction, Army Reserve	2004	88,451		116,521
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	2004	45,498	45,498	30,955
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	2004	62,032	62,032	111,725
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment				
Program	2004	169,300	161,300	165,800
Family Housing Construction, Army	2004	383,591	289,440	636,099
Family Housing Construction Operation and				
Maintenance, Army	2004	1,033,026	1,044,446	926,507
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	2004	184,193	143,685	139,107
Family Housing Construction Operation and				
Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	2004	835,078	841,358	696,304
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	2004	657,065	637,718	846,959
Family Housing Construction Operation and				
Maintenance, Air Force	2004	816,074	823,055	854,666
Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide	2004	350	350	49
Family Housing Construction Operation and				
Maintenance, Defense-wide	2004	49,440	49,440	49,575
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement				
Fund	2004	300	-9,392	2,500
Base Realignment and Closure	2004	370,427	370,427	246,116
Chemical Demilitarization Construction	2004		119,815	81,886

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 114, 117, 119, 120, and 123 of the General Provisions, and language included under "Military Construction, Defense-wide" provide certain transfer authority.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law * * *

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) allocation		This bill	1
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	10,003 0	10,015 0	11,208 0	10,149 0

¹The amount provided in this bill is \$1,205 million above the 302(b) suballocation due to a Congressional Budget Office (CBO) interpretation of the scoring of section 129. This section does not actually appropriate funds; appropriated amounts in this bill are within the 302(b) suballocation. The Committee is working with concerned parties to address CBO's scoring interpretation.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

Outlays:		
2005		2,592
2006		4,259
2007		3,226
2008		1.525
2009	and beyond	4,008

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

[In millions of dollars]	
New budget authority	0
Fiscal year 2001 outlays resulting therefrom	0

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

ing:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
ALABAMA		
ARMY		
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT		
POWERTRAIN COMPONENT REBUILDING FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE	23,690	23,690
HUNTSVILLE		
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY CENTER, VON BRAUN COMPLEX		
(PHASE II)	19,560	19,560
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CENTREVILLE ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	5,537	E E27
CLANTON	5,557	5,537
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	3,649	3,649
HALEYVILLE		
JOINT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER		13,849
ONEONTA	4 507	4 507
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	4,527	4,527
ALASKA		
ARMY		
FORT RICHARDSON	7 600	7 600
BARRACKS COMPLEX DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	7,600 13,600	7,600 13,600
SNIPER FIELD FIRE RANGE	3,100	3,100
FORT WAINWRIGHT	0,100	5,,50
BARRACKS COMPLEX - LORRAINE ROAD	39,815	39,815
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL - SANTIAGO ROAD	30,912	30,912
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	21,732	21,732
AIR FORCE		
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES	6,400	6,400
ELMENDORF C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	7,700	7,700
FITNESS CENTER	11,957	11,957
DEFENSE-WIDE	.,	,
FORT WAINWRIGHT		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE VI)	9,900	9,900
ARIZONA		
NAVY		
YUMA		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	18,740	18,740
STATION ORDNANCE AREA	7,930	7,930
AIR FORCE		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION-HAZARDOUS CARGO PAD	4,243	4,243
COMBAT SEARCH AND RESCUE C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS	5,786	5,786
EC-130 SQUAD OPERATIONS FACILITY		7,000
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE		
ATFP LITCHFIELD ROAD UNDERPASS AND ENTRY POINT		
REPLACEMENT		7,900
DORMITORY (120 ROOM)	10,000	10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP NAVAJO		
QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	3,000	3,000
	-,	-,
ARKANSAS		
AIR FORCE		
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE C-130J ADDITION/ALTERATION SIMULATOR FACILITY	5,031	5,031
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,031	3,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		-,
CAMP ROBINSON		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	33,020	33,020
FORT CHAFFEE		
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	13,798	13,798

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY		
FORT IRWIN		
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION COMMAND FIELD OPERATIONS		
BUILDING	2,600	2,600
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	21,000	21,000
LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II)	14,500	14,500
NAVY	14,000	14,500
BARSTOW		
BLASTING FACILITY		4,930
CAMP PENDLETON		4,000
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	19,975	19,975
CLOSE COMBAT PISTOL COURSE	6,940	6,940
CONSOL OPERATIONS CENTER	4,910	4,910
TERTIARY SEWAGE TREATMENT (PHASE II)	25,690	25,690
WEIGHT HANDLING SHOP	6,630	6,630
CORONA	*,***	-,
WARFARE ASSESSMENT LABORATORY ADDITION		9,850
EL CENTRO		0,000
APRON AND HANGAR RECAPITALIZATION (PHASE I)	33,331	33,331
NORTH ISLAND	00,007	100,00
BASE MAIN GATE AND ENTRANCE STREET		10,180
TWENTYNINE PALMS		10,100
OPERATIONAL TRAINING CENTER		15,700
AIR FORCE		13,700
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE		
GLOBAL HAWK ADDITION TO AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT		
FACILITY	1,866	1,866
GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE DOCK 2	8,320	8,320
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE	0,320	0,320
ADDITION/RENOVATE JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER COMPLEX		
(PHASE II)	9,965	9,965
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE	9,903	9,903
C-17 ADDITION ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY	2,400	2,400
C-17 UTILITIES/ROAD	12,844	
SECURITY FORCES ARMORY/COMBAT ARMS CAMPUS	12,044	12,844 3,650
DEFENSE-WIDE		3,030
CORONA		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES MILITARY OPERATIONS IN		
	42 600	12 600
URBAN TERRAIN TRAINING COMPLEX	13,600	13,600
NORTH ISLAND		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT	4 000	4 000
BUILDING	1,000	1,000
PRESIDIO		0.700
DENTAL CLINIC		6,700
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE	45.450	45 400
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	15,100	15,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP PARKS		
READINESS CENTER (ARMY NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION		
REDESIGN STUDY)	11,318	11,318
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE		
C-17 ALTER HANGAR TOWER	2,089	2,089
C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE II)	7,400	7,400
COLORADO		
ARMY		
FORT CARSON		40
ARRIVAL DEPARTURE AREA GROUP COMPLEX (PHASE IA)		12,400
BARRACKS COMPLEX - HOSPITAL AREA	14,108	14,108
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	33,000	33,000
AIR FORCE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE	_	
CHAPEL CENTER	6,147	6,147
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,100	6,100

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE		
	2,100	2,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
DENVER		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	34,000	21,000
FORT CARSON		
	3,205	3,205
ARMY RESERVE AURORA		
ADDITION/ALTERATION MILITARY EQUIPMENT PARKING	1,758	1,758
	1,100	1,100
CONNECTICUT		
NAVY		
NEW LONDON		4 420
GATES 3 AND 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	17,100	4,420 17,100
REPLACE PIER 6	28,782	28,782
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	20,702	20,702
SOUTHINGTON		
READINESS CENTER		4,087
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
NAVY		
ANACOSTIA		
ATOMIC CLOCK VAULT	3,239	3,239
DEFENSE-WIDE		
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE		
HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING UPGRADE	6,000	6,000
TO DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS CENTER	6,000	6,000
FLORIDA		
NAVY		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE	0.000	2 200
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE ROAD CONSTRUCTION MAYPORT	2,060	2,060
AIRFIELD CONTROL TOWER	6,200	6,200
AIR FORCE	0,200	0,200
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE		
1 AF HEADQUARTERS/AFFOR CENTER (PHASE I)		10,200
F-22 OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION	1,548	1,548
F-22 SQUAD OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT/		
HANGAR	17,414	17,414
DEFENSE-WIDE		
HURLBURT FIELD		0 500
SOF OPERATIONS TRAINING FACILITY		2,500
JACKSONVILLE	20 420	20 420
HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION	28,438	28,438
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BLANDING REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE I)		12,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		,2,000
JACKSONVILLE		
F-15 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY		4,000
NAVY RESERVE		
JACKSONVILLE		
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	9,300	9,300
GEORGIA		
ARMY		
FORT BENNING		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - KELLEY HILL/MAIN POST	49,565	49,565
HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON	3,850	3,850
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	18,362	18,362
REVITALIZE RANGER BARRACKS 6012		1,850

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
FORT GILLEM RECRUITING BRIGADE OPERATIONS BUILDING	5,800	5,800
FORT MCPHERSON CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,900	4,900
FORT STEWART AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGER (SPECIAL OPERATIONS		
FORCES)	21,100	21,100
BARRACKS COMPLEX-5TH & 16TH ST (PHASE II)	32,950	32,950
CHAPEL COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	9,500 24,695	9,500 24,695
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	10,200	10,200
NAVY		
KINGS BAY ENCLAVE FENCING AND PARKING	16 000	16 000
AIR FORCE	16,000	16,000
MOODY AIR FORCE BASE		
CONSOLIDATED BASE SUPPORT CENTER		9,600
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE AIRCRAFT RAMP	15,000	15,000
FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	13,000	6,570
DEFENSE-WIDE		
FORT BENNING	7 100	7 100
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH CLINICFORT STEWART	7,100	7,100
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BATTALION OPERATIONS		
COMPLEX	17,600	17,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD SAVANNAH		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	16,554	16,554
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
DOBBINS UPGRADE MAINTENANCE BAYS		10,000
OF GRADE TRAINTERANCE DATO		10,000
HAWAII		
ARMY HELEMANO		
DRUM ROAD UPGRADE (PHASE I)	27,000	27,000
TANK TRAILS - HELEMANO	7,300	7,300
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE HOT CARGO PAD EXPANSION	11,200	11,200
POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA (PTA)	11,200	11,200
WEST PTA MODIFICATIONS	30,000	30,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS	48,000	48,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL - CAPRON AVE (PHASE III). BARRACKS COMPLEX - QUAD E (PHASE II)	36,000	36,000
BATTLE AREA LIVE FIRE COMPLEX	32,000	32,000
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY	32,542	32,542
FIRE STATIONQUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	4,800 4,950	4,800 4,950
TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,500	3,500
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000
WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE DEPLOYMENT FACILITY	24,000	24,000
NAVY	24,000	24,000
PEARL HARBOR		
DRYDOCK 4 SHOREPOWER IMPROVEMENTS	•••	5,100
AIR FORCE HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE		
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE/SUPPLY AREAS	9,000	9,000
C-17 CLEAR WATER RINSE	4,300	4,300
C-17 MAINTENANCE SHOP FACILITY	8,200 1,950	8,200 1,950
C-17 NUNITIONS STURAGE	2,450	2,450
DEFENSE-WIDE		
PEARL HARBOR	3 500	3,500
MULTI-PRODUCT INTERFACE TANK	3,500	3,300

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
ILLINOIS NAVY		
GREAT LAKES		
BATTLE STATION TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II) RTC BARRACKS RTC BARRACKS	58,200 35,920 38,851	58,200 35,920 38,851
GALESBURG READINESS CENTER (ADRS) (PHASE II)		4,400
SPRINGFIELD ARMY SCHOOL SYSTEM (MULTIFUNCTIONAL	13,596	13,596
INDIANA		
NAVY		
CRANE COTS PRODUCT ASSURANCE & TEST MANAGEMENT FACILITY. ARMY NATIONAL GUARD REMINGTON	•••	10,580
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	1,458	1,458
IOWA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP DODGE COMPANY GRADE BOQ		3,485
KANSAS		
ARMY FORT LEAVENWORTH		
LEWIS & CLARK INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (PHASE II) FORT RILEY	44,000	44,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	41,000 3,050	41 ,000 3,050
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTERAIR NATIONAL GUARD	3,086	3,086
FORBES FIELD REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEXARMY RESERVE		9,800
HAYS ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE		
SHOP NEW CENTURY	7,451	7,451
AR CENTER/OMS/AMSA/UNH STORAGE		8,723
KENTUCKY		
FORT CAMPBELL BARRACKS COMPLEX - 42ND ST/INDIANA AVE (PHASE I) COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITYSHOOT HOUSE	30,000 33,000 1,600	30,000 33,000 1,600
FORT KNOX BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX 1 (PHASE I) SHOOT HOUSE	50,000	50,000 1,850
LOUISIANA		
ARMY		
FORT POLK AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE. FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON. HAZARDOUS CARGO LOADING APRON. PALLET PROCESSING FACILITY. PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY. URBAN ASSAULT COURSE.	7,500 25,000 14,503 8,800 11,700 3,450	7,500 25,000 14,503 8,800 11,700 3,450

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
NAVY		
NEW ORLEANS		
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		3,450
INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE		2,580
AIR FORCE		-,
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE		
DORMITORY (168 ROOM)	13,800	13,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BEAUREGARD		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	15,738	15,738
MARYLAND		
ARMY		
FORT DETRICK		
REMOTE TRUCK INSPECTION STATION		4,000
NAVY		
INDIAN HEAD		
AGILE CHEMICAL FACILITY	13,900	13,900
JOINT AIRCREW ESCAPE COMPONENT CENTER		9,100
AIR FORCE		
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE ASA - ALTER AIRCRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES	F 000	F 000
	5,000 11,000	5,000
ASA - FIGHTER AIRCRAFT ALERT COMPLEX		11,000
DEFENSE-WIDE	1,100	1,100
FORT MEADE		
CRITICAL COMM PATH	3,450	3,450
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY DEEP WELLS	8,140	8,140
RECONFIGURED CHILLED WATER (PHASE II)	3,417	3,417
ARMY RESERVE	-,	
FORT MEADE		
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE		
SHOP/WAREHOUSE (PHASE II)	14,642	14,642
MASSACHUSETTS		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
OTIS AIR NATIONAL GUARD BASE		
ELIMINATE AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTIONS	4,000	4,000
REPLACE CONTROL TOWER		7,000
MICHIGAN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GRANDLEDGE		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	27,600	18,200
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
SELFRIDGE		4 000
VISITORS CENTER AND ID COMPLEX		4,000
FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION		5,100
The Mid Grid Resolution		9,199
MINNESOTA		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT	2 000	3 000
ASA - CREW QUARTERS	3,000 4,000	3,000 4,000
ASA - ARM, DEARM APRON AND TAXIWAY	3,500	3,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,300	5,500
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
ADD/ALTER JOINT USE PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		4,400
THE THE COURT OF THE PARTY OF THE COURT OF T		,,,,,
MISSISSIPPI		
AIR FORCE		
COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE		
FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION		7,700

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP SHELBY		
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY (SMALL)		5,300
MISSOURI		
EODT LEGNADO MOOD		
MINE DETECTION DOG KENNEL	2,750	3,700 2,750
FACILITYAIR FORCE WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE	15,000	15,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		7,600
MONTANA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HAVRE AIR FORCE STATION READINESS CENTER, ADDITION/ALTERATION (ARMY		0.000
NATIONAL GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY) HELENA	2,398	2,398
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	7,600	
NEBRASKA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
HASTINGS MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE (REMOTE TARGET SYSTEMS)	1,487	1,487
NEVADA		
NAVY FALLON HIGH EXPLOSIVES MAGAZINE	• • •	4,980
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD PEASE INTERNATIONAL TRADEPORT UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II)		4,900
NEW JERSEY		
ARMY PICATINNY ARSENAL PYROTECHNICS FACILITY		9,900
EARLE	49.200	49,200
GENERAL PURPOSE/BERTHING PIER (PHASE II) AIR NATIONAL GUARD	49,200	49,200
ATLANTIC CITY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT ASA - REPLACE ALERT COMPLEX	10,400	10,400 2,300
ARMY RESERVE FORT DIX CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE (PHASE I)		9,502
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY WHITE SANDS ELECTROMAGNETIC VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT	33,000	33,000
AIR FORCE KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	•••	9,200
NEW YORK		
ARMY BUFFALO MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	6,200	6,200

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
CODT DDUM		
FORT DRUM AIRFIELD ARRIVAL/DEPARTURE FACILITY BARRACKS COMPLEX - WHEELER SACK ARMY AIRFIELD	4,950	4,950
(PHASE II)	48,000	48,000
DEFENSIVE LIVE FIRE RANGE. UPGRADE EDUCATIONAL TRANSITIONAL FACILITIES FORT HAMILTON		3,000 5,700
MILITARY POLICE STATIONHANCOCK FIELD	7,600	7,600
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATIONU.S. MILITARY ACADEMY	•••	6,000
LIBRARY AND LEARNING CENTER (PHASE I)ARHY NATIONAL GUARD	34,500	34,500
AUBURN ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL		
GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY (ARRY))	2,472	2,472
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER (ADRS)	4,406	4,406
READINESS CENTER (ADRS)KINGSTON	6,489	6,489
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ADRS)UTICA	3,827	3,827
READINESS CENTER ADDITION (ADRS)AIR NATIONAL GUARD HANCOCK FIELD	5,704	5,704
REPLACE MOBILITY PROCESSING CENTERAIR FORCE RESERVE NIAGARA	***	2,300
FIRE AND CRASH RESCUE STATION		7,800
NORTH CAROLINA		
ARMY FORT BRAGG		
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	2,500	2,500
BARRACKS COMPLEX - ARMISTEAD ST (PHASE II)		10,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL BLACKJACK ST (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - BASTOGNE DR (PHASE II)	48,000	48,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX - DONOVAN STREET (PHASE V) SHOOT HOUSE	15,500 2,037	15,500 2,037
SHOOT HOUSE	1,650	1,650
NAVY	,	·
CAMP LEJEUNE	4 010	4 010
ARMORY CAMP GEIGER	4,010 2,410	4,010 2,410
EXPLOSIVE ORDANCE DISPOSAL OPERATIONS FACILITY NEW RIVER		4,610
ADD TO SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,270	2,270
AIRCRAFT MAINT TRAINING FACILITY	12,090	12,090
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERSNAVAL OUTLYING LANDING FIELD WASHINGTON COUNTY	20,780	20,780
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD FACILITIES (PHASE II)	33,900	
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD LAND ACQUISITION (PHÁSE I). AIR FORCE	61,750	
POPE AIR FORCE BASE		
COMBAT CONTROLLER SCHOOL EXPANSION	12,950 2,200	12,950 2,200
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	22,700	22,700
FORT BRAGG KENNEDY HALL RENOVATION	11,988	11,988
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS BUILDING	4,600	4,600
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS COMPLEX	12,000	12,000

(BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMPANY OPERATIONS		
FACILITY SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES ISOLATION UNIT TRAINING	4,500	4,500
FACILITY	8,300	8,300
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES RESISTANCE TRAINING FACILITY	1,500	1,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD BURLINGTON		
ADDITION/ALTERATION READINESS CENTER	1,360	1,360
FORT BRAGG REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE III)	6,319	6,319
WINDSOR ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL		
GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY)	2,409	2,409
NAVY RESERVE ASHEVILLE		
RESERVE CENTERAIR FORCE RESERVE	3,492	3,492
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE		
RESERVE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS	2,300	2,300
OHIO		
AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE		
REPLACE STEAM LINES/TUNNELS, AREA B (PHASE IA) DEFENSE-WIDE		9,200
COLUMBUS		
REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITYARMY NATIONAL GUARD	5,500	5,500
COLUMBUS		9,980
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE I) ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP (ARMY NATIONAL		
GUARD DIVISION REDESIGN STUDY)	2,225	2,225
TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT		0.000
REPLACE LOGISTICS COMPLEXAIR FORCE RESERVE	***	6,900
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE C-5 AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I)	4,300	4,300
C-5 MULTI-PURPOSE HANGAR	16,821	16,821
OKLAHOMA		
ARMY		
FORT SILL CIDC FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING	•••	3,400
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE III)	13,100 14,400	13,100
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE	14,400	14,400
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE ADDITION/ALTERATION HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	5,400	5,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	3,400	3,400
CAMP GRUBER TRAINING CENTER MULTI-PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE		3,201
OPECON		
OREGON ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
EUGENE ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	12,635	12,635
SALEM		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITYAIR FORCE RESERVE		4,917
PORTLAND ADDITION/ALTERATION BUILDING 315 FOR PJ SQUADRON		
OPERATIONS	1,640	1,640
CONSOLIDATED TRAINING (PHASE II)	3,800 12,400	3,800 12,400
		·-··

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
PENNSYLVANIA		
DEFENSE-WIDE		
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY	22,300	22,300
FORT INDIANTOWN GAP UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITEARHY RESERVE FORT INDIANTOWN GAP		20,387
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE		
SHOP NAVY RESERVE WILLOW GROVE	13,156	13,156
FITNESS CENTER	7,700	7,700
SOUTH CAROLINA		
NAVY		
BEAUFORT AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE FACILITY		5,480
SHAW AIR FORCE BASE		0.700
BASE LIBRARY. SEWER OUTFALL LINE TO WATEREE RIVER DEFENSE-WIDE	3,300	3,700 3,300
PARRIS ISLAND MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	25,000	25,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
MOBRIDGE READINESS CENTER	2,944	2,944
TENNESSEE		
AIR FORCE		
ARNOLD AIR FORCE BASE ADD/ALTER WINGO INN VISITING QUARTERS UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE V).	22,000	2,500 22,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT		
C-5 AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND HYDRANT REFUEL C-5 CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	15,500 26,000	15,500 26,000
TEXAS		
ARMY CAMP BULLIS		
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		5,300
MISSILE DEFENSE INSTRUCTION FACILITY TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP - AAMDC	16,500	16,500 2,900
FORT HOOD BARRACKS COMPLEX	49,888	49,888
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY (PHASE II)	28,200	7,100 28,200
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE	20,200	20,200
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE		3,300
SECURITY FORCES TRAINING EXPANSION	2,596	2,596
LAUGHLIN AIR FORCE BASE T-1 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITYSHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE		6,900
F-22 TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITYSTUDENT DORMITORY (300 ROOM)	21,284 29,000	21,284 29,000

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
DEFENSE-WIDE		
KINGSVILLE		
REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,900	3,900
ARMY RESERVE	3,500	3,300
CORPUS CHRISTI STORAGE COMPLEX		
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE (PHASE I)		9,038
NAVY RESERVE		
FORT WORTH		
COMBINED RESERVE TRAINING ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING. AIR FORCE RESERVE		5,520
FORT WORTH		
AIRCRAFT PARTS STORE		1,850
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE		1,000
ADDITION/ALTERATION C-5 AIRCRAFT GENERATION		
FACILITY	1,200 1,850 20,000	1,200
C-5 TRAINING LOAD ASSEMBLY FACILITY	1,850	1,850
C-5 TRAINING SCHOOLHOUSE COMPLEX	20,000	20,000
UTAU		
UTAH AIR FORCE		
HILL AIR FORCE BASE		
TOOTH AGO OPERATOTHO (MATHEMATICE CACTUATY		4,900
FITNESS CENTER	13,113	13,113
ARMY RESERVE		
OGDEN		
ADDITION/ALTERATION ARMY RESERVE CENTER	7,932	7,932
VIRGINIA		
ARMY FORT A.P. HILL		
SHOOT HOUSE	3 075	3,975
FORT LEE	3,375	5,575
FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER (PHASE III)		4,250
FORT MYER		,
BARRACKS COMPLEX - SHERIDAN AVE (PHASE I)	49,526	49,526
NAVY		
CAMP ELMORE MARINE CORPS DETACHMENT		
COMMAND OPERATIONS FACILITY	13,500	13,500
LITTLE CREEK GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,850	2.850
POLICE AND SECURITY OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,030	6,700
NORFOLK		0,700
	4,330	4,330
GATE 5 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTSPIER 11 REPLACEMENT (PHASE II)	4,330 40,000	40.000
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
POST 2 SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,770	2,770
QUANTICO		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	15,090	15,090
GREEN SIDE HANGAR CUMPLEX	21,180	21,180
HQ AND SERVICE BN/TBS	950	4,470
HERITAGE CENTER ROAD IMPROVEMENTSTHE BASIC SCHOOL ARMORY	4,580	4,580
YORKTOWN	4,500	4,000
ORDNANCE HANDLING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	9,870	9,870
DEFENSE-WIDE		-,-
DAM NECK		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE.	1,400	1,400
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONAL TRAINER		
SUPPORT FACILITY ADDITION	4.300	4,300
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RICHMOND	2 222	2 000
CONFERENCE CENTER	3,600 6,500	3,600 6,500
SECURITY ENHANCEMENTSFORT A.P. HILL	0,500	0,500
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT		
BUILDING	1,500	1,500

	BUDGET REQUEST	
FORT BELVOIR		
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE I)	43,000	43,000
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE ADDITION/ALTERATION HOSPITAL	50,800	50,800
LITTLE CREEK		
BOAT SUPPORT FACILITYSEAL TEAM OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,500	10,500 9,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COMBAT SKILLS COMPOUND SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY	12,700	12,700
MAINTENANCE FACILITYOCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION	1,000	1,000
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKARMY NATIONAL GUARD FORT PICKETT	3,589	3,589
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE	5,170	5,170
COURSE NAVY RESERVE NORFOLK	1,409	1,409
	3,290	3,290
WASHINGTON		
ARMY FORT LEWIS		
BARRACKS COMPLEX - 41ST DIVISION DR/B ST (PHASE II)	48.000	48.000
NAVY	000,04	40,000
BANGOR LIMITED AREA PRODUCTION AND STAGING COMPLEX NSWCCD DET BREMERTON CONSOLIDATION (PHASE 1)	35,770	35,770 6,850
BREMERTON BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS - SHIPBOARD ASHORE	34,125	34,125
PUGET SOUND AIRCRAFT CARRIER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	20,305	20,305
WHIDBEY ISLAND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STOREHOUSEARMY NATIONAL GUARD		1,990
CAMP MURRAY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD STATION	1,400	1.400
LAND ACQUISITION	2,500	2,500
WEST VIRGINIA		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD EASTERN WEST VIRGINIA REGIONAL AIRPORT (MARTINSBURG) C-5 MAINTENANCE HANGAR AND SHOPS	36,000	36,000
WISCONSIN		
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
TRUAX FIELD ASA - MUNITIONS MAINT AND STORAGE COMPLEX ARMY RESERVE	5,900	5,900
FORT MCCOY INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSESQUAD DEFENSE RANGE	2,712 1,248	2,712 1,248
WYOMING		
AIR FORCE F.E. WARREN AIR FORCE BASE UPGRADE STORM WATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM (PHASE I)		5,500
BAHAMAS		
NAVY ANDROS ISLAND BACHELOR QUARTERS	20,750	20,750

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
DIEGO GARCIA		
NAVY DIEGO GARCIA		
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CENTER	17,500	17,500
DIEGO GARCIA DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	3,800	3,800
GERMANY		
ARMY		
GRAFENWOEHR		
BARRACKS COMPLEX	28,500	28,500
BARRACKS COMPLEX - BRIGADE	34,000	34,000
BRIGADE SUPPORT COMPLEX	14,700	14,700
IR FORCE		
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE		
SMALL DIAMETER BOMB FACILITIES	1,200	1,200
US AIR FORCE THEATER AEROSPACE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	.,200	.,200
CENTER	24,204	24,204
DEFENSE-WIDE	24,204	24,204
GRAFENWOEHR	43.000	40.000
ADDITION/ALTERATION DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC	13,000	13,000
NEW ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE SCHOOL	36,247	36,247
VILSECK		
VILSECK HIGH SCHOOL RENOVATION/ADDITION	9,011	9,011
GREENLAND		
AIR FORCE		
THULE AIR BASE		
DORM (72 ROOM)	19 800	19,800
DONN (12 NOON)	10,000	10,000
GUAM		
AIR FORCE		
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE	40 500	40.500
WAR RESERVE STORAGE FACILITY	19,593	19,593
DEFENSE-WIDE		
AGANA NAVAL AIR STATION		
GUAM HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT	26,964	26.964
ITALY		
ARMY		
LIVORNO		
WAREHOUSE OPERATIONS FACILITY	26,000	26,000
VAVY		
SIGONELLA		
ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS	7,430	7,430
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (PHASE II)	15,120	15,120
	13,120	10,120
AIR FORCE		
AVIANO AIR BASE		
ADDITION/ALTERATION WEAPONS LOAD/MAINTENANCE		
TRAINING FACILITY	2,300	2,300
AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION - EXPAND NORTH RAMP (PHASE I)	1,626	1,626
FLIGHT SIMULATOR	2,834	2,834
JAPAN		
AIR FORCE		
MISAWA AIR BASE		
EXPAND STRATEGIC AIRLIFT RAMP	6.700	6,700
DEFENSE-WIDE	-,	-,
MISAWA AIR BASE		
	19,900	19,900
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	19,900	19,900

KOREA RMY CAMP HUMPHREYS SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM. 12,000 12,000 IR FORCE KUNSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (144 ROOM) 18,550 18,550 DORNITORY (144 ROOM) 18,550 18,550 OSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (156 ROOM) 18,600 18,600 AVY HARIANA ISLANDS AVY GUAM KILD WHARF IMPROVEMENTS 12,500 12,500 WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE 20,700 20,700 EFENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER 21,523 21,523 EVALUATION OF THE ARMY RESERVE CENTER ROOM ARTER TRANSITION SONSOLIDATION 32,700 32,700 INFORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH ARRY RESERVE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER 5,500 5,500 INFORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER 5,500 5,500 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO) NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM. 165,800 165,800
RMY CAMP HUMPHREYS SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM
SANTARY SEWER SYSTEM. 12,000 12,000 IR FORCE KUNSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (144 ROOM). 18,550 DORNITORY (144 ROOM). 18,550 OSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (156 ROOM). 18,550 OSAN AIR BASE DORNITORY (156 ROOM). 18,600 MARIANA ISLANDS AVY GUAM KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS. 12,500 12,500 WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE 20,700 20,700 EFEENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING. 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II). 5,689 5,689 EFFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM. 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER. 21,523 21,523 SPAIN IAVY ROTA COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION. 32,700 32,700 IR FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II). 14,153 14,153 UNITED KINGOOM IR FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II). 5,500 5,500 EFFENSE-WIDE LAJES FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II). 5,500 5,500 EFFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER. 5,500 5,500 EFFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY. 10,200 10,200 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO)
DORNITORY (144 ROOM)
MARIANA ISLANDS
MARIANA ISLANDS AVY GUAM KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS
GUAM KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS. 12,500 12,500 WATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE. 20,700 20,700 EFENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING. 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM. 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO IRMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER. 21,523 21,523 SPAIN IAVY SPAIN IAVY ROTA COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION. 32,700 32,700 IR FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II) 14,153 14,153 UNITED KINGDOM VIR FORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BBY MISSION TRAINING CENTER. 5,500 5,500 DEFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION HILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY. 10,200 10,200 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO)
KILO WHARF IMPROVEMENTS. 12,500 12,500 MATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE. 20,700 20,700 EFENSE-WIDE GUAM SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING. 2,200 2,200 PORTUGAL IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM. 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER. 21,523 21,523 SPAIN INTERPRETABLE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES GROUND MOBILITY SUPPORT BUILDING
IR FORCE LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II) 5,689 5,689 EFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM 19,113 19,113 PUERTO RICO ARMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER 21,523 21,523 SPAIN IAVY ROTA COMMAND OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION 32,700 32,700 INF FORCE ROTA AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II) 14,153 14,153 UNITED KINGDOM AIR FORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER 5,500 DEFENSE-WIDE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY 10,200 10,200 NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO)
LAJES FIELD ADDITION/ALTERATION FITNESS CENTER (PHASE II)
LAJES FIELD REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM
RMY RESERVE AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER
AGUADILLA ARMY RESERVE CENTER
NOTA
ROTA
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II)
NIR FORCE ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH 4-BAY MISSION TRAINING CENTER
ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION MILDENHALL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES OPERATIONS/INTELLIGENCE FACILITY
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED
AIR FORCE CLASSIFIED LOCATION CLASSIFIED
CLASSIFIED LOCATION SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES BUILDING ADDITION 2,600 2,600
SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES INFORMATION OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
HOST NATION SUPPORT	21,000	21,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	130,335	140,554
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,000	20,000
NAVY		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	87,067	93,284
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,000	12,000
PRESIDENTIAL HELICOPTER PROGRAMS SUPPORT FACILITY.	80,000	80,000
WHITE SIDE COMPLEX	18,560	18,560
AIR FORCE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	140,786	165,367
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	13,000	13,000
PREDATOR B BEDDOWN	26,121	26,121
DEFENSE-WIDE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	60,000	50,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,900	2,900
PLANNING AND DESIGN		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	10,566	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	22,216	22,216
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	29,400	29,400
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN		
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	3,002	3,002
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,710	2,710
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	2,769	2,769
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,497	1,497
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	746	746
THE JOINT STAFF	7,214	7,214
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		20,938
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	30,845	74,982
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,472	7,172
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	13,568	20,433
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,500	5,500
ARMY RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,225	13,413
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,923	2,923
NAVY RESERVE	-,	
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,503	1,653
	, -	

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
VARIOUS WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN	5,493	8,612
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,263	5,263
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		877,157
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY		
ALASKA		
FORT RICHARDSON (92 UNITS	42,000	42.000
FORT WAINWRIGHT (100 UNITS)		42,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT (60 UNITS)		
FORT WAINWRIGHT (86 UNITS)ARIZONA	46,000	46,000
	44 000	44 000
FORT HUACHUCA (205 UNITS)	41,000	41,000
YUMA (55 UNITS)	14,900	14,900
KANSAS		
FORT RILEY (126 UNITS)	33,000	33,000
NEW MEXICO		
WHITE SANDS (156 UNITS)	31,000	31,000
OKLAHOMA		
FORT SILL (247 UNITS)	47,000	47,000
VIRGINIA		
FORT LEE (218 UNITS)	46,000	
FORT MONROE (68 UNITS)	16,000	16,000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEHENTS	211,990	211,990
PLANNING AND DESIGN	29,209	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	636,099	636,099
COPPORTION AND MAINTENANCE		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	420.050	400.000
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	132,356	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	36,174	36,174
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	74,895	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,333	1,333
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	37,411	37,411
LEASING	218,033	218,033
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	37,411 218,033 402,060	399,660
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	1	1
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	26,644	26,644
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	928,907	926,507
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,365,000	1,362,606
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
NORTH CAROLINA CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (198 UNITS)	27,002	27,002
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	112,105	112,105
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	139,107	139,107
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	137,226	137,226
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	20,756	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	81,859	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	654	654
SERVICES ACCOUNT	57,691	57,691
LEASING	136,883	136,883
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	252,383	244,183
	-,	.,

	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM		
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	704,504	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	843,611	
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE		
ARIZONA		
DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE (250 UNITS)	48,500	48,500
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE (218 UNITS)VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE (120 UNITS)	41,202 30,906	41,202 30,906
FLORIDA MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE	1,250	1,250
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE (61 UNITS)		21,723
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE (147 UNITS)	39,333	39,333
MISSISSIPPI COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE	711	711
MISSOURI WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE (160 UNITS)	37,087	37,087
MONTANA MALMSTROM AIR FORCE BASE (115 UNITS)	29,910	29,910
NORTH CAROLINA SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE (167 UNITS)	32,693	32,693
NORTH DAKOTA GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE (90 UNITS)	26,169	26,169
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE (142 UNITS)	37,087	37,087
SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE	1,976	1,976
SOUTH DAKOTA ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE (75 UNITS)	21,482	21,482
TEXAS DYESS AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS)	28,664	28,664
GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE (127 UNITS)	20,604	20,604
GERMANY RAMSTEIN AIR BASE (144 UNITS)	57,691	57,691
ITALY AVIANO AIR BASE	2,542	2,542
KOREA	40.024	46,834
OSAN AIR BASE (117 UNITS)		•
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH (154 UNITS)	43,976	43,976
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	238,353	238,353
PLANNING AND DESIGN	38,266	38,266
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	125,459	125,459
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	70,680	64,180
SERVICES ACCOUNT	26,070	26,070
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	44,459	41,959
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	2,396	2,396
LEASING	119,908	119,908 435,552
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	435,782 38	435,552 38
HONTOAGE INGUNANCE FREHIUM	33	50

		HOUSE
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	39,104	39,104
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	863,896	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,710,855	
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY)	49	49
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY (NSA)) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) LEASING (NSA) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY) LEASING (DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY) UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA) SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	116 13 53 381 11,257 1,939 3,925 30,199 419 36 76 293 397	1,939 3,925 30,199 419 36 76 293 397
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE	49,024	49,024
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE	81,886	81,886
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND		
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.	2,500	2,500
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	246,116	246,116
GENERAL PROVISION		
GENERAL PROVISION (SEC. 118)	63,000	63,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,553,375	10,003,000